UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DAVOL, INC. / C.R. BARD, INC. POLYPROPOLENE

HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION Case No. 2:18-md-2846

JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

This document relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

PLAINTIFFS' STEERING COMMITTEE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL ITS FORTHCOMING MOTION TO ENFORCE SUBPOENAS AND COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to Local Rule 5.2.1(a) and the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter (ECF No. 48), the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") respectfully moves this Court to allow the PSC to file its forthcoming motion to enforce subpoenas served upon American Hernia Society, Inc. d/b/a Americas Hernia Society ("AHS") and the Americas Hernia Society Quality Collaborative Foundation ("AHSQCF") (collectively "Respondents") and to compel Respondents to produce the documents specifically outlined in the accompanying motion under seal, including the associated exhibits. For the reasons set forth below, this Court should grant the PSC's motion.

On September 3, 2019, the PSC served a subpoena duces tecum to Respondents. ECF No. 212. On October 11, 2019, Respondents served the PSC with objections to the requests for documents. The PSC and Respondents met and conferred to resolve disputes regarding the production of documents. All the issues could not be resolved. The issues are now ripe for the Court's consideration.

At the last status conference on November 14, 2019, the parties discussed the forthcoming non-party Motion to Enforce Subpoenas and Compel the Production of Documents to Respondents. After a short discussion on the substantive issues concerning the forthcoming

1

motion, the Court directed the PSC to submit its motion on the docket and indicated it would either set a briefing schedule or, alternatively, set a telephonic conference with all Counsel so the issues could be resolved without wasting too much time and resources. ECF No. 271, 42:23-43:2

Since this status conference during the PSC's work on this issue, it has become apparent to the PSC that it will need to use documents and deposition testimony that has been designated "Confidential" and "Highly Confidential" in support of its forthcoming motion to Enforce Subpoenas and Compel the Production to Documents to Respondents. The PSC is required to file documents that have been designated "Confidential" and "Highly Confidential" under seal pursuant to Article VIII., Paragraph 23 of the Protective Order. Furthermore, during the Meet and Confer process concerning the subpoenaed documents, Respondents notified the PSC that they are withholding certain documents to the extent the subpoena seeks information protected from public disclosure because they involve trade secrets, among other asserted protections and privileges. The PSC is unable to assess the validity of Respondents' objections and designations concerning their documents that would justify such assertions, which would potentially support a proper request to file under seal. Therefore, in an abundance of caution, the PSC seeks to file its forthcoming motion under seal to bring Respondents under the protections provided in the Protective Order.

Contemporaneous with this filing, the PSC will submit a copy of its Motion with exhibits *in camera*, and serve a copy on Defense Counsel. The PSC also requests that the Court direct the PSC on how it should provide a copy of the motion and exhibits – containing "Confidential" and "Highly Confidential" material – to Respondents so that Respondents can respond. The Court may then consider the best course of action having the benefit of the proposed motion and direct the Parties and Respondents accordingly. See, ECF No. 271, 42:23-43-2 (MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOLSON: "Right. So, Mr. O'Brien, go ahead and get your motion on the docket, and then I will

put on a briefing schedule. Or, alternatively, I might set a telephonic status conference with counsel so that we can maybe just resolve it without wasting too much time and resources.").

For all the reasons described above, the PSC respectfully requests that the Court grant its *Motion for Leave to File Under Seal its Forthcoming Motion to Enforce Subpoenas and Compel the Production of Documents*, and to set a briefing schedule, or, alternatively, to set a telephonic conference with all Counsel to resolve the issues outlined in the forthcoming motion.

Dated: December 20, 2019. Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David J. Butler

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel
David J. Butler (0068455)
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215-4213

Tel: (614) 221-2838 Fax: (614) 221-2007

Email: dbutler@taftlaw.com

Timothy M. O'Brien

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

Florida Bar No. 055565

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell

Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.

316 South Baylen St., Ste. 600

Pensacola, FL 32502 Tel: (850) 435-7084

Fax: (850) 436-6084

Email: tobrien@levinlaw.com

Kelsey L. Stokes

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

Texas Bar No. 24083912

FLEMING, NOLEN & JEZ, L.L.P.

2800 Post Oak Blvd.. Suite 4000

Houston, TX 77056-6109

Tel: (713) 621-7944

Fax: (713) 621-9638

Email: kelsey_stokes@fleming-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 20, 2019, I served the foregoing via the CM/ECF system and electronic mail on the following counsel:

Michael K. Brown Reed Smith LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Phone: (213) 457-8000

Fax: (213) 457-8080 mbrown@reedsmith.com

Lori G. Cohen Greenberg Traurig, LLP Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305

Phone: (678) 553-2100 Fax: (678) 553-2212 cohenl@gtlaw.com

Eric Alexander Reed Smith LLP 1301 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3317 Phone: (202) 414 9200

Fax: (202) 414-9299

ealexander@reedsmith.com

William D. Kloss, Jr. Henrique A. Geigel Arryn K. Miner Vorys Sater Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 464-6202 wdklossjr@vorys.com

David J. Butler

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel